

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION

BALLARD NURSING CENTER, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

ACCUBUILT, INC. and JOHN DOES 1-10,

Defendants.

No. 08 C 260

Judge Gettleman

Magistrate Judge Ashman

INITIAL STATUS REPORT

A. DATE OF STATUS HEARING

This matter is set for status on March 7, 2008 at 9:15 a.m.

B. ATTORNEYS OF RECORD FOR EACH PARTY

Daniel A. Edelman, Cathleen M. Combs, James O. Lattuner and Julie Clark are counsel for Plaintiff, Ballard Nursing Center, Inc.

Thomas P. Cimino, Jr. and Brian W. Ledebuhr are counsel for Defendant, Accubuilt, Inc.

Trial attorneys are Daniel A. Edelman for Plaintiff and Thomas P. Cimino, Jr. for Defendant.

C. JURISDICTION

This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§1331, 1332(a) and 1332(d). *See also Brill v. Countrywide Home Loans, Inc.*, 427 F.3d 446 (7th Cir. 2005). Venue and personal jurisdiction exists because Plaintiff alleges acts in Illinois by causing the transmission of unlawful communications into the state. Defendant also has transacted business in Illinois.

D. JURY DEMAND

No jury demand has been made at this time. Defendant intends to request trial by jury.

E. NATURE OF CLAIMS ASSERTED

Plaintiff has alleged that Defendant sent or caused to be sent unsolicited advertisements to telephone facsimile machines in violation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. §227 (“TCPA”).

F. RELIEF SOUGHT

Plaintiff requests certification of a class and judgment in favor of Plaintiff and the class and against Defendant for:

- a. Actual damages;
- b. Statutory damages;
- c. An injunction against the further transmission of unsolicited fax advertising;
- d. Costs of suit; and
- e. Such other or further relief as the Court deems just and proper

Defendant denies that class should be certified in this case, and further denies that the Plaintiff or any member of the putative class is entitled to the relief requested.

G. SERVICE

All parties have been served and have appeared through counsel.

H. PRINCIPAL LEGAL ISSUES

The major legal issues are:

- a. Whether the Plaintiff is entitled to relief under the provisions of the TCPA;
- b. Whether the requirements of Fed.R.Civ.P. 23 are met, such that the requested class may be certified.

I. PRINCIPAL FACTUAL ISSUES

The major factual issues are:

- a. Whether the Defendant sent, or caused to be sent, unsolicited facsimile advertisements;
- b. Whether there was consent from, or established business relationships with, any of the recipients of the alleged facsimiles;
- c. How the recipients of the alleged facsimiles were determined;
- d. What, if any, third parties were involved in the sending of the alleged facsimiles; and
- e. The damages, if any, to which Plaintiff and/or the putative class are entitled.

J. ANTICIPATED MOTIONS

- a. Plaintiff intends to move for class certification should discovery reveal that it is appropriate.
- b. Both parties anticipate that summary judgment or other dispositive motions may be presented.

K. PROPOSED DISCOVERY PLAN

- (A) The parties will make initial disclosures under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) by March 21, 2008.
- (B) The parties shall each serve initial written discovery on or before April 11, 2008.
- (C) Amendments to the pleadings and/or joinder of additional parties may be sought upon appropriate motion by September 29, 2008.
- (D) All fact discovery will close on December 22, 2008.
- (E) Plaintiff's designation of trial experts (if any), as provided in Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2), shall be by January 31, 2009. Defendants' designation of trial experts (if any), as provided in Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2), shall be due by March 2, 2009. Depositions of experts shall be completed March 31, 2009.
- (F) Any Motion for Class Certification will be filed on or by April 30, 2009.
- (G) Any dispositive motions will be filed on or by May 15, 2009.

L. TRIAL DATE

The earliest date that the parties would be ready for trial would be _____, and the parties believe that a jury trial would take approximately 5 days.

M. STATUS OF SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS

Prior to presenting a settlement demand, Plaintiff will require information related to the size and nature of the putative class. The parties remain willing to discuss settlement.

N. CONSENT TO MAGISTRATE

At this point, the parties do unanimously consent to trial before the Magistrate Judge.

s/ Julie Clark
Daniel A. Edelman, Esq.
Julie Clark, Esq.
EDELMAN, COMBS, LATTURNER & GOODWIN, LLC
120 S. LaSalle Street, 18th Floor
Chicago, IL 60603
Telephone: 312/739-4200
Facsimile: 312/419-0379

s/ Thomas P. Cimino, Jr.
Thomas P. Cimino, Jr., Esq.
Brian W. Ledebuhr, Esq.
VEDDER PRICE P.C.
222 North LaSalle Street
Chicago, IL 60601
Telephone: 312/609-7500
Facsimile: 312/609-5005

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Julie Clark, certify that on February 29, 2008, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the following:

tcimino@vedderprice.com

bhahn@vedderprice.com

bledebuhr@vedderprice.com

/s/Julie Clark
Julie Clark

Daniel A. Edelman
Cathleen M. Combs
James O. Lattuner
Julie Clark
EDELMAN, COMBS, LATTURNER
& GOODWIN, LLC
120 S. LaSalle Street, 18th Floor
Chicago, Illinois 60603
(312) 739-4200
(312) 419-0379 (FAX)